

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/961,237	09/20/2001	Lyle Joseph Chamberlain	TUC920010065US1 502.64US0	4066
7590 06/27/2005			EXAMINER	
David W. Lynch			DESIRE, GREGORY M	
Crawford Maur				
1270 Northland Drive, Suite 390			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Mendota Heights, MN 55120			2625	<u></u>
			DATE MAIL ED 06/03/000	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/961,237	CHAMBERLAIN, LYLE JOSEPH
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory M. Desire	2625
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT III, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	rply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 25 February 2005.	
	b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for	•	ers, prosecution as to the ments is
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-7,11-13,17-19,23 and 24</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,8-10,14-16 and 20-22</u> is/ 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restricti	e withdrawn from consideration. I is/are rejected. I are objected to.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 September Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) accepted or b) cion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand he correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08) 5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) —

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communication filed 2/25/05.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's arguments filed in view of 35 U.S.C 102 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See response to arguments below.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant argues (remarks page 1 line 30 page 2 line 2) Reasoner fails to disclose, teach or suggest a processor, coupled to the imager and illumination sources, for thresholding the image data obtained from the imager for controlling the illumination sources. This argument is not persuasive because it is the position of the examiner Reasoner does disclose a processor coupled to the imager and illumination sources (note col. 5 lines 18-28, cites processor coupled to system for output), for thresholding the image data obtained from the image for controlling the illumination sources (examiner refers MPEP 2114, intended use).
- 4. Applicant argues (remarks page 2 lines 19-21) Reasoner does not disclose, teach or suggest bounding boxes to identify the location of a desired physical feature in the threshold image. This application is note persuasive because it is the position of the examiner Reasoner does disclose bounding boxes to identify location of desired physical feature in the thresholded image (note col. 4 lines 64-67, col. 5 line 39 and col.

Art Unit: 2625

6 lines 1-3, examiner interprets light pattern bounding and illuminating the label area as bounding boxes to identify location of features in the thresholded image).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1, 5-6, 7, 11-12, 13, 17-18, 19 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Reasoner et al (6,634,553).

Regarding apparatus, method, article of manufacture and system claims 1, 7, 13 and 19 Reasoner discloses,

A picker assembly (fig. 1 block 10 in connection with col. 5 line 1);

Illumination sources disposed at the front of the picker assembly for illuminating an object (note col. 5 line 4-6, led illumination source);

An imager disposed on the front of the picker assembly for gathering image data of the object (note col. 5 lines 8-9, ccd is the imager);

A processor, coupled to the imager and illumination sources (note col. 5 lines 18-28, cites processor coupled to system for output), for thresholding the image data obtained

Art Unit: 2625

from the imager and for controlling the illumination source (examiner refers MPEP 2114);

Wherein the processor uses bounding boxes to identify the location of a desired physical feature in the thresholded image (note col. 4 lines 64-67, col. 5 line 39 and col. 6 lines 1-3, examiner interprets light pattern bounding and illuminating the label area as bounding boxes to identify location of features in the thresholded image).

Regarding apparatus, method, article of manufacture and system claims 5, 11, 17 and 23 Reasoner discloses,

Wherein the desired physical feature comprises a top left intersection of a vertical and horizontal member of a cartridge cell within a tape library system (note col. 4 lines 43-44, array comprises location in a data cartridge).

Regarding apparatus, method, article of manufacture and system claims 6, 12, 18 and 24 Reasoner discloses,

Wherein the position of the intersection relative to the image is used to calibrate the physical position of the picker assembly (note col. 3 lines 62- col. 4 line 7, picker is moveable directed by a control system).

Art Unit: 2625

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 2-4, 8-10, 14-16 and 20-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter for claims 2, 8, 14 and 20. The prior art fails to teach the specific limitation of the processor locating bounding box as claimed. These features, in combination with other limitations, are not taught in the prior art. Claims 3-4, 9-10, 15-16 and 21-22 depend on claims 2, 8, 14 and 20. Therefore are also objected.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory M. Desire whose telephone number is (571) 272-7449. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on (571) 272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Gregory M. Desire Examiner

Art Unit 2625

G.D. June 23, 2005